

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,159	01/20/2004	Biten K. Kathrani	END-5255	2562	
27777 PHILIP S. JOE	7590 06/03/200 INSON	8	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON &	JOHNSON		STIGELL, THEODORE J		
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/761,159	KATHRANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THEODORE J. STIGELL	3763	

	THEODORE J. STIGELL	3763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld).	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24,26 and 27</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24,20 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains that the finality of the last office action (mailed on 2/21/2008) was proper. The applicant included new limitations in independent claim 1 and an entirely new claim 27. This forced the examiner to make a new search and a new grounds of rejection. During this search, the examiner found the art currently applied. The correction of the typo in claim 26 was not relied upon as the reason for the final rejection. The applicant's arguments in regards to the art rejections are not persuasive. In regards to claim 1, the applicant only provides arguments infaint the rejection of claims 12 and 14, it is unclear how these arguments relate to claim 1. The applicant's arguments in regards to claims 26 and 27 are also not persuasive.